

STATE OF ILLINOIS
SECRETARY OF STATE
SECURITIES DEPARTMENT

IN THE MATTER OF: PRESTIGE LEASING, INC., and
IT'S OFFICERS, DIRECTORS, AGENTS, EMPLOYEES,
AFFILIATES, SUCCESSORS and ASSIGNS, ARTHUR
ARTHUR FRIEDMAN, LEON BILIS, AND BORIS
WEISERMAN, INDIVIDUALLY

)
)
)
) FILE NO: 1300023
)
)
)

NOTICE OF HEARING

TO RESPONDENTS:

Prestige Leasing, Inc.
88 E. Dundee Road
Buffalo Grove, Illinois 60089

Arthur Friedman
1840 Central Avenue
Northbrook, Illinois 60062

Leon Bilis
3917 Charlie Court
Glenview, Illinois 60026

Boris Weiserman
2422 Sawgrass Courts
Riverwoods, Illinois 60015

You are hereby notified that pursuant to Section 11.F of The Illinois Securities Law of 1953, as amended, Ch. 815 ILCS 5/1, et seq. (the "Act") and 14 Ill. Adm. Code 130, Subpart K, a public hearing will be held at 69 West Washington Street, Suite 1220, Chicago, Illinois 60602, on the 4th day of June, 2013, at the hour of 10:30 a.m., or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard, before James L. Kopecky, or another duly designated Hearing Officer of the Secretary of State. A copy of the Rules under the Act pertaining to contested cases is attached to this Notice.

Said hearing will be held to determine whether a permanent Order of Prohibition should be entered against Prestige Leasing, Inc. and it's officers, directors, agents, employees, affiliates, successors, and assigns, Arthur Friedman, Leon Bilis, and Boris Weiserman individually, the ("Respondents"), and/or granting such other relief as may be authorized under the Act including, but not limited to, censure and the imposition of a monetary fine in the maximum amount of \$10,000 per violation, payable within ten (10) business days of the entry of the Order.

Notice of Hearing

-2-

The grounds for such proposed action are as follows:

COUNT I
Unregistered Securities

1. That Respondent Prestige Leasing, ("Prestige"), Inc an Illinois Corporation maintained a business address at 88 Dundee Road, Buffalo Grove, Illinois 60089 The corporation was dissolved November 25, 2012.
2. That Respondent Arthur Friedman, ("Friedman") was an owner and officer of Prestige Leasing, Inc. and a member and manager of Glenview Development Group, LLC at all relevant times. His last known address is 1840 Central Avenue, Northbrook, Illinois 60062.
3. That Respondent Leon Bilis, ("Bilis") was an owner and officer of Prestige Leasing, Inc. and a member and manager of Glenview Development Group, LLC at all relevant times. His last known address is 3917 Charlie Court, Glenview, Illinois 60026
4. That Respondent Boris Weiserman ("Weiserman") was a member and manager of Glenview Development Group, LLC. at all relevant times. His last known address is 2422 Sawgrass Courts, Riverwoods, Illinois 60015.
5. That Investor A is a senior citizen and a resident of Illinois.
6. That in May of 2007 Weiserman, on behalf of Prestige, Friedman and Bilis approached Investor A for the purpose of selling Investor A a \$100,000 00 loan agreement ("Note") issued by Prestige, Friedman, and Bilis.
7. That Investor A did not know Friedman or Bilis but relied on statements from Weiserman that Prestige was in very good financial shape and that Friedman and Bilis were very successful businessmen. Weiserman further represented that he had done business with them for a long time.
8. That in exchange for the \$100,000.00, Investor A would receive principal plus 12%
9. That Investor A tendered Weiserman a check in the amount of \$100,000 payable to Prestige and a couple of weeks later Weiserman delivered the Note signed by Friedman and Bilis individually and by Friedman on behalf of Prestige.
10. That in May, 2008 Investor A agreed to renew the Note for one year after Weiserman represented to Investor A that the previous year had been very good for Prestige and that it was in very good financial shape
11. That contrary to Weiserman's representations Prestige had a significant cash flow problems

Notice of Hearing

-3-

12. That in June 2009 when the Note referenced in paragraph 10 above became due the Respondents offered Investor A a substitute promissory note in the amount of \$100,000 plus interest of 8%.
13. That Investor A was not informed that at the time of the offer of the substitute note that Prestige had financial problems and that the note was not registered.
14. That Investor A refused the substituted promissory note and at a meeting with Weiserman and Friedman on or about June 13, 2009 was informed that the Note could not be repayed because Prestige had been on the verge of bankruptcy the last couple of years.
15. That the activities described above constitute the offer and sale of a Note and therefore a security as those terms are defined in Sections 2.1, 2.5 and 2.5a of the Illinois Securities Law of 1953 [815 ILCS 5] (the "Act").
16. That Section 5 of the Act provides, inter alia, that all securities except those exempt under Section 3 or those offered or sold in transactions exempt under Section 4 shall be registered either by coordination or by qualification prior to their offer or sale in the State of Illinois.
17. That Respondents failed to file with the Secretary of State an application for registration of the investment opportunity described above as required by the Act and that as a result the security was not registered pursuant to Section 5 of the Act prior to its offer or sale in the State of Illinois.
18. That Section 12.A of the Act provides, inter alia, that it shall be a violation for any person to offer or sell any security except in accordance with the provisions of the Act
19. That Section 12 D of the Act provides, inter alia, that it shall be a violation for any person to fail to file with the Secretary of State any application, report or document required to be filed under the provisions of the Act or any rule or regulation made by the Secretary of State pursuant to the Act.
17. That by virtue of the foregoing, Respondents have violated Sections 12.A and 12.D of the Act
18. That Section 11.E(1) of the Act provides, inter alia, that if the Secretary of State shall find that the offer and sale of any securities in this State is in violation of Section 12 of the Act, the Secretary of State shall by written order prohibit the offer or sale of such securities.
19. That Section 11 E(2) of the Act provides, inter alia, that if the Secretary of State shall find that the any person has violated subsection C,D,E,F,G,H,I, J, or K offer and sale of any securities in this State is in violation of Section 12 of this Act, the Secretary of State shall by written order prohibit the offer or sale of such securities.

Notice of Hearing

-4-

20. That by virtue of the foregoing, Respondents, its agents, affiliates and employees are subject to an Order prohibiting them from offering or selling in the State of Illinois.
21. That Section 11.E(4) provides, inter alia, that in addition to any other sanction or remedy contained in subsection E, the Secretary of State, after finding that any provision of the Act has been violated, may impose a fine as provided by rule, regulation or order against the violator not to exceed \$10,000, and may issue an order of public censure against the violator.
22. That by virtue of the foregoing, Respondents are subject to an order of public censure and a fine not to exceed \$10,000 for each violation.

COUNT II Fraudulent Practices

- 1-14 That paragraphs one (1) through fourteen (14) of Count I are re alleged and incorporated herein as paragraphs one (1) through fourteen (14) of this Count II.
15. That Section 12.F of the Act provides that it shall be a violation of the Act to engage in any transaction, practice or course of business in connection with the sale or purchase of securities which work or tends to work a fraud or deceit upon the purchaser.
16. That Pursuant to Section 12 G of the Act, it is a violation of the Act to obtain money or property through the sale of securities by means of any untrue statement of a material fact or any omission to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading.
17. That Respondents knew or should have known of risks to Respondent's obligation to repay Investor A the promised return not later than May 31, 2009 or earlier pursuant to the acceleration clause, but failed and refused to disclose such risks to Investor A, and to the contrary indicated that Prestige was in good financial condition.
18. That by virtue of the foregoing, Respondents have violated Sections 12.F and 12 G of the Act.
19. That Section 11.E(1) of the Act provides, inter alia, that if the Secretary of State shall find that the offer and sale of any securities in this State is in violation of Section 12 of the Act, the Secretary of State shall by written order prohibit the offer or sale of such securities.
20. That Section 11.E(2) of the Act provides, inter alia, that if the Secretary of State shall find that the any person has violated subsection C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, or K offer and sale of any securities in this State is in violation of Section 12 of this Act, the Secretary of State shall by written order prohibit the offer or sale of such securities

Notice of Hearing

-5-

21. That by virtue of the foregoing, Respondents, its agents, affiliates and employees are subject to an Order prohibiting them from offering or selling in the State of Illinois.
22. That Section 11.E (4) provides, inter alia, that in addition to any other sanction or remedy contained in subsection E, the Secretary of State, after finding that any provision of the Act has been violated, may impose a fine as provided by rule, regulation or order against the violator not to exceed \$10,000, and may issue an order of public censure against the violator.
23. That by virtue of the foregoing, Respondents are subject to an order of public censure and a fine not to exceed \$10,000 for each violation.

You are further notified that you are required pursuant to Section 130.1104 of the Rules and Regulations (14 Ill Adm. Code 130) (the "Rules"), to file an answer to the allegations outlined above within thirty (30) days of the receipt of this notice. A failure to file an answer within the prescribed time shall be construed as an admission of the allegations contained in the Notice of Hearing.

Furthermore, you may be represented by legal counsel, may present evidence; may cross-examine witnesses and otherwise participate. A failure to so appear shall constitute default, unless any Respondent has upon due notice moved for and obtained a continuance

Delivery of notice to the designated representative of any Respondent constitutes service upon such Respondent.

Dated: This 11th day of April 2013



JESSE WHITE
Secretary of State
State of Illinois

Attorney for the Secretary of State

Gregory J. Solberg
Illinois Securities Department
69 West Washington Avenue
Suite 1220
Chicago, Illinois 60602
Telephone. (312) 793-9643

Hearing Officer
James Kopecky
190 S. LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60603
Phone: 312.380.6552
Fax: 312.527.3968